Guideline

1/11

CLAP

FORM N°42

Version: 8

D	ir	ec	ti۱	/e	97	7/2	3/	Έ	C
_		~~	• •	. •	•		•		•

/EC	Keywords:	Pressure	Design
		Significant factor	Compressor
Directi	ive references:	Article 1 § 3.10 - 97/23 EC	

Adopted by WPG: 08/11/1999 Adopted by CLAP: 08/11/1999

Subject:

Exclusion - interpretation of Article 1 § 3.10

Question:

How can 1 § 3.10 more specifically be understood, especially the wording "for which pressure is not a significant design factor"?

Answer:

1/2

- 1. Article 1 § 3.10 excludes pressurized equipment comprising casings or machinery from the scope of the PED
- a) if this equipment is primarily dimensioned for loads other than pressure, i.e. for which pressure is not the significant design factor
- and b) if it is primarily designed to move or rotate or fulfil other functions than pressure containment.
- 2. Such equipment may include:
- engines including turbines and internal combustion engines;
- steam engines, gas/steam turbines, turbo-generators, compressors, pumps, actuating devices and curing moulds for tyres.
- 3. For such equipment, pressure can be considered as not being a significant factor, if other factors alone or together are more significant than pressure. Other factors are, e.g.: dynamic loads with vibrations or very high number of cycles; thermal loads together with a complicated form of structure; stiffness of the structure because of external mechanical loads or requirements related to high weight; requirements related to low elongation, low change of diameter or low other deformation because of functional requirements to rigidity. This shall be decided on a case by case basis, taking into account established safe industrial practice.
- 4. An over-dimensioning as such shall not result in exclusion from the PED with regard to article 1 § 3.10

Guideline

1/11

CLAP

FORM N°42

Version: 8

Directive	97/23/EC	Keywords :	Pressure	Design			
			Significant factor	Compressor			
	Direct	ive references:	Article 1 § 3.10 - 97/23 EC				
Ado	opted by WPG:	08/11/1999	Adopted by CLAP:	08/11/1999			
Subject:	Exclusion – interpretation of Article 1 § 3.10						
Question:	How can 1 § 3.10 more specifically be understood, especially the wording "for which pressure is not a significant design factor"?						
Answer:	2/2						
	NOTE 1: No factor is included in the requirements of the PED. Any factor given in a guideline would therefore go beyond the PED and should be avoided.						
	NOTE 2: If a factor was used to decide whether the requirements of the PED are applicable or not, over dimensioning could result in a case where pressure equipment need not fulfil the requirement of the PED. This is not acceptable.						
	NOTE 3: To decide on the exception with a factor of over dimensioning would consequently result in the necessity of a detailed stress analysis, especially if this factor would have been connected to the primary membrane stress. This is far beyond the present established industrial practice.						
	NOTE 4: Furthermore, there is a danger that the more important influences explained in paragraphs 1 to 3 could be overlooked if the decision whether the pressure is a significant design factor were based on a factor of over dimensioning only.						
	Modifications compared to the previous adopted version: Editorial correction on 2004-09-16						